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#### Abstract

Ten new tetrasaccharide multi-esters, watteroses $A-J$ ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ ), and two new xanthone glycosides, wattersiixanthones $A(\mathbf{1 1})$ and $B$ (12), were isolated from the roots of Polygala wattersii, together with 11 known compounds (10 oligosaccharide multi-esters and a xanthone glycoside). The structures of new compounds were elucidated on the basis of chemical and spectroscopic evidence.


In the course of a research program on the oligosaccharide esters from Polygala species, ${ }^{1}$ we investigated $P$. wattersii Hance (Polygalaceae). P. wattersii is widely distributed in the People's Republic of China, and its root is used as a tonic in traditional medicine. No previous investigation has been reported on this plant. We now report the isolation and structure elucidation of 10 new tetrasaccharide multi-esters, watteroses A-J (1-10), and two new xanthone glycosides, wattersiixanthones A (11) and $B$ (12). Ten known ol igosaccharide multi-esters isol ated from this plant were identified by comparison of the spectral data with reported data, as reiniose $G(13),{ }^{2}$ fallaxose $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 4}),{ }^{3}$ reiniose $\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1 5}),{ }^{2}$ senegose $\mathrm{F}(16),{ }^{4}$ senegose G (17), ${ }^{4}$ reiniose A (18), ${ }^{3}$ 6-O-benzoyl-3'-O-3,4,5-trimethoxycinnamoyl-sucrose (19), ${ }^{5}$ 6-O-feruoyl-3'-sinapoylsucrose (20), ${ }^{5} 3^{\prime}$-O-feruloyl-6-O-sinapoyl-sucrose (21), ${ }^{5}$ 3',6-di-O-sinapoyl-sucrose (22), ${ }^{5}$ and a known xanthone glycoside identified as wubangziside B (23). ${ }^{6}$ See Chart 1.

## Results and Discussion

Air-dried roots of $P$. wattersii were extracted with MeOH under reflux. The MeOH extract was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ether. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was adsorbed on a porous polymer gel (Diaion HP-20) column. The material was eluted with $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{MeOH}, 70 \%$ aqueous MeOH , and MeOH , successively. The $70 \%$ aqueous MeOH eluate was chromatographed on a Si gel column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and selected fractions were then subjected to preparative HPLC, using a reversed-phase (ODS) column, which led to the isolation of 15 tetrasaccharide multiesters (1-10 and 13-17), five sucrose esters (18-22), and three xanthone glycosides (11, 12, and 23).

Watterose A (1) was isolated as an amorphous powder. The positive mode FABMS revealed a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1157$ [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{28}$. On acid hydrolysis, $\mathbf{1}$ gave d-glucose and D-fructose ${ }^{7}$ in the ratio 3:1. Alkaline hydrolysis afforded a mixture composed of benzoic, p-coumaric, and ferulic acid. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1, acetyl, benzoyl, p-coumaroyl, and feruloyl signals were observed (See Tables 1 and 2). HOHAHA difference spectra on irradiation at each anomeric proton signal and H-3 of the fructosyl moiety and ROE experiments involving irradiation at each anomeric

[^0]proton signal enabled us to assign all proton signals of the Glc1, Glc2, Glc3, and Fru moieties. The sugar and acyl residue linkages were assigned from ROE and HMBC. In the ROE difference spectra of $\mathbf{1}$, when the proton signals at $\delta 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc2) and $4.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of GIc3) were irradiated, ROE s were observed at $\delta 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2$ of Glcl$)$ and 3.97 ( 1 H , dd, J $=10,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ of GIcl), respectively. In the HMBC spectrum ${ }^{\mathrm{nJ}}$ с-н correlations were observed between the p-coumaroyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 168.1$ and the proton signal at $\delta 5.00$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4$ of Glcl); the feruloyl carbonyl carbon signals at $\delta 168.4$ and the proton signal at $\delta 4.22,4.73$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Fru ); the benzoyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 167.4$ and the proton signal at $\delta 5.72$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3$ of Fru ); the acetyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 172.7$ and the proton signals at $\delta 3.96,4.06$ (each 1 H , overlapped, $\mathrm{H}-6$ of GIc3); the carbon signal at $\delta 79.3$ (C-3 of Glcl) and the proton signal at $\delta 4.47$ (H-1 of Glc3); the carbon signal at $\delta 81.5$ (C-2 of GIc1) and the proton signal at $\delta 4.60$ (H-1 of GIc2); and the carbon signal at $\delta 103.9$ (C-2 of Fru) and the proton signal at $\delta 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1$ of Glcl$)$. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose A as $\mathbf{1}$.
The FABMS of watterose B (2) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1185[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 28$ mass units higher than that of $\mathbf{1}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{29}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 2 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, a benzoyl, a p-coumaroyl, a caffeoyl, and two acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$, except for the presence of a caffeoyl residue instead of a feruloyl residue, and C-6 of GIc1 was acetylated. The position of each acyl residue was defined by the HMBC spectrum. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose B as 2.

The FABMS of watterose C (3) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1143[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 13$ mass units higher than that of $\mathbf{1}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{28}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N M R$ spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three D-glucose, a benzoyl, a p-coumaroyl, a caffeoyl, and an acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$, except for the presence of a caffeoyl residue instead of a feruloyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose $C$ as 3.

The FABMS of watterose D (4) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1101[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 42$ mass units lower
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A: acetyl
C : feruloyl
D : caffeoyl
F: 3,45-trim
G: sinapoyl
than that of 3, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{27}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of 4 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, a benzoyl, a p-coumaroyl, and a caffeoyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 3, except that 4 had no acetyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose D as 4.

The FABMS of watterose E (5) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1215[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 30$ mass units higher than that of 2, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{30}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of 5 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, a benzoyl, a feruloyl, a caffeoyl, and two acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 2, except for the presence of a feruloyl residue instead of a p-coumaroyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose E as 5 .

The FABMS of watterose $F(6)$ gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1173[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 30$ mass units higher than that of 3, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{29}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N M R$ spectra of 6 showed that this compound was composed of a D-fructose, three d-glucose, a benzoyl, a feruloyl, a
caffeoyl, and an acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 3, except for the presence of a feruloyl residue instead of a p-coumaroyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose $F$ as 6.

The FABMS of watterose G (7) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1201[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 16$ mass units higher than that of 2, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{30}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of 7 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, a benzoyl, two caffeoyl, and two acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 2, except for the presence of a caffeoyl residue instead of a p-coumaroyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose G as 7.

The FABMS of watterose H (8) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1127[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 58$ mass units higher than that of $\mathbf{2}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{25}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N M R$ spectra of 8 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, two benzoyl, a p-coumaroyl, and two acetyl moieties. The NM R spectra were similar to those of 2, except for the presence of a benzoyl residue
Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}}$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar moiety |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GIc1-1 | 5.84 d (3.5) | 5.86 d (3.5) | 5.85 d (3.5) | 5.83 d (3.5) | 5.86 d (3.5) | 5.87 d (3.5) | 5.85 d (3.5) | 5.90 d (3.5) | 5.87 d (3.5) | 5.78 d (3) |
| 2 | 3.77 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.81 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.79 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.78 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.81 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.81 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.80 dd (9, 3.5) | 3.82 dd (10, 3.5) | 3.78 dd (9, 3.5) | 3.72 dd (10, 3) |
| 3 | 3.97 dd (10, 10) | 3.95 dd (10, 10) | $3.97{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.01 dd ( 10,10 ) | 3.97 dd (10, 10) | 3.96 dd (10, 10) | 3.95 dd (9, 9) | $3.97{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.98 dd (9, 9) | 3.68 dd (8, 8) |
| 4 | 5.00 dd (10, 10) | $5.00 \mathrm{dd}(10,9)$ | 5.01 dd (10, 10) | $5.00 \mathrm{dd}(10,10)$ | 5.01 dd (10, 9) | 5.04 dd (10, 9) | $5.00 \mathrm{dd}(9,9)$ | 5.01 dd (9, 9) | $5.00 \mathrm{dd}(9,9)$ | 3.47 dd (8, 8) |
| 5 | 4.21 m | 4.38 m | 4.23 m | 4.22 m | 4.39 m | 4.24 m | 4.39 m | 4.42 m | 4.23 m | 4.26 m |
| 6 | 3.68 dd (12, 2) | 4.18 dd (12, 2.5) | 3.70 dd (12, 2.5) | 3.69 dd (12, 2.5) | 4.18 dd (12, 2.5) | 3.72 dd (12, 2) | $4.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.20 \mathrm{dd}(12,3)$ | 3.69 dd (12, 2) | $4.59 \mathrm{dd}(12,2)$ |
|  | 3.56 dd (12,5) | $4.13 \mathrm{dd}(12,5.5)$ | 3.58 dd (12,5) | $3.57{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.13 \mathrm{dd}(12,5.5)$ | 3.60 dd ( 12,5 ) | 4.14 dd (12, 5.5) | 4.14 dd (12, 5.5) | 3.56 dd (12, 5.5) | 4.32 m |
| GIc2-1 | 4.60 d (7.5) | 4.59 d (7.5) | 4.61 d (7.5) | 4.59 d (7) | 4.60 dd (7) | 4.63 d (7) | 4.59 d (7.5) | 4.60 d (7.5) | 4.60 d (7.5) | 4.53 d (7.5) |
| 2 | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.33{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ | $3.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 3 | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.33{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ |
| 4 | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.33{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ | $3.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 5 | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.33{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.31{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ | $3.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ | $3.32^{\text {b }}$ |
| 6 | $3.93{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.94{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.94{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.72{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.93{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.97{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.93{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.94{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.92{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.92{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | 3.73 dd (12, 6) | 3.72 dd (11.5, 5.5) | 3.73 dd (12,5) | 3.93 d (12) | 3.72 dd (11.5, 5.5) | $3.77^{\text {b }}$ | 3.72 dd (12, 5.5) | 3.71 dd (12, 5.5) | 3.72 dd (12, 5.5) | $3.71{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Glc3-1 | 4.47 d (8) | 4.49 d (8) | 4.47 d (8) | 4.42 d (8) | 4.49 d (8) | 4.51 d (7.5) | 4.48 d (8) | 4.46 d (8) | 4.44 d (8) | 4.24 d (7.5) |
| 2 | 3.01 dd (8, 9) | $3.01 \mathrm{dd}(8,9)$ | 3.03 dd (8, 9) | $3.01{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.01 dd (9, 8) | 3.05 dd (8, 7.5) | 3.01 dd (8, 8) | 3.01 dd (8, 9) | 3.01 dd (8, 9) | 3.17 m |
| 3 | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.21{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.18{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.22^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.16 dd (9, 9) | 3.19 m |
| 4 | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.21{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.20^{\text {b }}$ | $3.22^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.19{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.21 dd (9, 9) | 3.24 m |
| 5 | $3.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.10^{\text {b }}$ | $3.09{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.04{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.10 m | 3.11 m | 3.09 m | 3.06 m | 3.05 m | 3.22 m |
| 6 | $4.06{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.06{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.07 dd ( 12,4 ) | 4.06 br d (12) | $4.06^{\text {b }}$ | 4.11 dd ( 12,4 ) | 4.07 dd (11, 3.5) | $4.08{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.09 dd (11, 3.5) | 4.35 m |
|  | $3.96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.45 dd ( $12,5.5$ ) | $4.00^{\text {b }}$ | $4.01{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.95 br d (11) | $3.98{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.95 br d (11) | 4.12 m |
| Fru-1 | 4.22 d (12) | 4.19 d (12) | 4.22 d (12) | 4.19 d (12) | 4.19 d (12) | 4.24 d (12) | 4.19 d (12) | 4.32 d (12) | 4.33 d (12) | 4.14 d (12) |
|  | 4.73 d (12) | 4.71 d (12) | 4.74 d (12) | 4.74 d (12) | 4.71 d (12) | 4.76 d (12) | 4.71 d (12) | 4.85 d (12) | 4.86 d (12) | 4.71 d (12) |
| 3 | 5.72 d (8) | 5.72 d (8) | 5.72 d (8) | 5.72 d (8) | 5.72 d (8) | 5.74 d (8) | 5.72 d (8) | 5.79 d (8) | 5.78 d (8) | 5.73 d (8) |
| 4 | $4.51 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $4.43 \mathrm{dd}(8.5,8.5)$ | $4.53 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $4.52 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $4.43 \mathrm{dd}(8.5,8.5)$ | $4.55 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $4.44 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | 4.46 t (8) | $4.53 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $4.50 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ |
| 5 | $4.04{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.04{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.03{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.07{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.07 m | $4.06{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.08 m | 4.04 m | $4.06^{\text {b }}$ |
| 6 | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.90{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.83{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.89 m | $3.88{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.93 m |
|  | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.87{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.90{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.83{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.85 m | $3.86{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3.83 dd (12, 2.5) |
| $\mathrm{Ac}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$ |  | 2.06 s |  |  | 2.06 s | 2.06 s | 2.06 s | 2.07 s |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ac}\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ | 1.63 s | 1.63 s | 1.63 s |  | 1.60 s | 1.65 s | 1.65 s | 1.63 s | 1.66 s | 2.05 s |
| acid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (at C-4 of Glcl) $\beta$ | 6.22 d (16) | 6.22 d (16) | 6.23 d (16) | 6.30 d (16) | 6.25 d (15, 5) | 6.30 d (16) | 6.17 d (16) | 6.22 d (16) | 6.18 d (16) (at C-6 of Glc1) | 6.34 d (16) |
| $\gamma$ | 7.56 d (16) | 7.56 d (16) | 7.56 d (16) | 7.54 d (16) | 7.56 d (12) | 7.61 d (16) | 7.50 d (2) | 7.56 d (16) | 7.50 d (16) | 7.58 d (16) |
| 2 | 7.44 d (8.5) | 7.45 d (8) | 7.45 d (8.5) | 7.49 d (8.5) | 7.21 d (2) | 7.24 d (2) | 7.05 d (2) | 7.45 d (8) | 7.05 d (2) | 7.06 d (2) |
| 3 | $6.85 \mathrm{~d}(8.5)$ | 6.85 d (8) | 6.86 d (8.5) | 6.85 d (8.5) |  |  |  | 6.85 d (8) | $6.94 \mathrm{dd}(8,2)$ | 6.77 d (8) |
| 5 | 6.85 d (8.5) | 6.85 d (8) | 6.86 d (8.5) | 6.85 d (8.5) | 6.85 d (8) | 6.88 d (8) | 6.82 d (8) | 6.85 d (8) | 6.82 d (8) | 6.89 dd (8, 2) |
| 6 | 7.44 d (8.5) | 7.44 d (8) | 7.45 d (8.5) | 7.49 d (8.5) | 7.06 dd (8, 2) | 7.09 dd (8, 2) | 6.94 dd (8, 2) | 7.45 d (8) |  | 6.29 d (16) |
| (at C-1 of Fru) $\beta$ | 6.41 d (16) | 6.31 d (16) | 6.32 d (16) | 6.32 d (16) | 3.95 s | 3.98 s |  |  |  | 7.59 d (16) |
| $\gamma$ | 7.68 d (16) | 7.62 d (16) | 7.63 d (16) | 7.63 d (16) | 6.31 d (16) | 6.34 d (16) | 6.31 d (16) |  | $8.10 \mathrm{dd}(7.5,1.5)$ | 7.03 d (2) |
| 2 | 7.20 d (2) | 7.05 d (2) | 7.06 d (2) | 7.05 d (2) | 7.62 d (16) | 7.66 d (16) | 7.62 d (16) |  | 7.47 t (7.5) |  |
| 5 | 6.81 d (8) | 6.79 d (8) | 6.79 d (8) | 6.79 d (8.5) | 7.05 d (2) | 7.08 d (2) | 7.05 d (2) | 8.10 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 7.61 tt (7.5, 1.5) | 6.77 d (8) |
| 6 | 7.03 dd (8, 2) | 6.91 dd (8, 2) | $6.92 \mathrm{dd}(8,2)$ | 6.93 dd (8.5, 2) |  |  |  | 7.46 t (7.5) | $8.10 \mathrm{dd}(7.5,1.5)$ | 6.89 dd (8, 2) |
| OMe | 3.91 s |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.61 tt (7.5, 1.5) | $8.18 \mathrm{dd}(7.5,1.5)$ | $8.15 \mathrm{dd}(7,1)$ |
| (at C-3 of Fru)2 | 8.20 br d (7.5) | 8.17 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 8.19 dd (8.5, 1.5) | 8.18 dd (8.5, 2) | 6.78 d (8) | 6.82 d (8) | 6.76 d (8) | 7.46 t (7.5) | 7.59 t (7.5) | 7.54 t (7) |
| 3 | 7.59 t (8) | 7.58 t (7.5) | 7.58 t (8) | 7.58 t (8.5) | 6.91 dd (8, 2) | 6.95 dd (8, 2) | 6.92 dd (8, 2) | $8.10 \mathrm{dd}(7.5,1.5)$ | $7.72 \mathrm{tt}(7.5,1.5)$ | 7.66 tt (7, 1) |
| 4 | 7.70 tt (7,1) | 7.70 tt (7.5, 1.5) | 7.69 tt (8,1.5) | 7.64 tt (8,1.5) | 8.17 dd (8, 1.5) | 8.23 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 8.18 dd (8, 1.5) | 8.16 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 7.59 t (7.5) | 7.54 t (7) |
| 5 | 7.59 t (8) | 7.58 t (7.5) | 7.58 t (8) | 7.58 t (8.5) | 7.59 t (8) | 7.63 t (7.5) | 7.59 t (8) | 7.58 t (7.5) | $8.18 \mathrm{dd}(7.5,1.5)$ | 8.15 dd (7, 1) |
| 6 | 8.20 br d (7.5) | 8.17 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 8.19 dd (8.5, 1.5) | 8.18 dd (8.5, 2) | 7.69 tt (8, 1) | 7.72 tt (7.5, 1.5) | 7.72 dd (7.5, 1.5) | 7.70 tt (7.5, 1.5) |  |  |

[^1]Table 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

instead of a caffeoyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterose H as 8.

The FABMS of watterosel (9) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1101[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 42$ mass units higher than that of 3, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{27}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} N M R$ spectra of 9 showed that this compound was composed of a d-fructose, three d-glucose, two benzoyl, a caffeoyl, and an acetyl moieties. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 3, except for the presence of a benzoyl residue instead of a caffeoyl residue. These data led us to assign the structure of watterosel as 9.

The FABMS of watterose J (10) gave a quasimolecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 1137[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data were consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{29}$. On acid
hydrol ysis $\mathbf{1 0}$ gave D-glucose and d-fructose in the ratio 3:1. Alkaline hydrolysis afforded an acid mixture composed of benzoic and caffeic acid. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$, acetyl, benzoyl, and caffeoyl signals were observed (see Tables 1 and 2). All proton signals of the GIc1, Glc2, GIc3, and Fru moieties were assigned by the HOHAHA spectrum. The sugar and acyl residue linkages were assigned from HMBC. In the HMBC spectrum, 可 с-н correlations were observed between the caffeoyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 169.1$ and the proton signals at $\delta 4.32$ ( 1 H , overlapped) and $4.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12,12 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{H}_{2}-6$ of Glcl ; another caffeoyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 168.5$ and the proton signals at $\delta 4.14,4.71$ (each $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) due to $\mathrm{H}_{2}-1$ of Fru ; the benzoyl carbonyl carbon signal at $\delta 167.4$ and the proton signal at $\delta 5.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to

H-3 of Fru; the carbon signal at $\delta 85.0$ ( $\mathrm{C}-3$ of Glcl ) and the proton signal at $\delta 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc3; the carbon signal at $\delta 103.8$ (C-2 of Fru) and the proton signal at $\delta 5.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{H}-1$ of $\mathrm{Glc1}$; and the carbon signal at $\delta 80.2$ (C-2 of Glcl) and the proton signal at $\delta 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to $\mathrm{H}-1$ of Glc 2 . These data led us to assign the structure of watteroseJ as 10.

Wattersiixanthone A (11) was isolated as an amorphous powder. The positive mode FABMS revealed quasimolecular ion peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 537[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$and $559[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{13}$. The NMR spectra were similar to those of wubangziside B (23), ${ }^{6}$ except for the presence of methoxyl group. From the HMBC spectrum, the methoxyl group was bound at the carbon at $\delta 160.2$ (C-1 of xanthone). These data led us to assign the structure of wattersiixanthone A as 11.

Wattersiixanthone B (12) was isolated as an amorphous powder. The positive-mode FABMS revealed quasimolecular ion peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 405[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$and $427[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ consistent with a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{9}$. The NMR spectra were similar to those of 11, except for lacking of apiose residue. These data led us to assign the structure of wattersiixanthone B as 12.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured on a J ASCO DIP-1000 digital polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on Hitachi U-3410 spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ( 100 MHz ) NMR spectra were recorded on a J EOL $\alpha-400$ FT-NMR spectrometer with TMS as internal standard. Inverse-detected heteronuclear correlations were measured using HMQC (optimized for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}$ c-н $=145 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and HMBC (optimized for ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{H}}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) pulse sequences with a pulse-field gradient. Positive-mode FABMS were recorded on a J EOL J MS-SX102 spectrometer, using a m-nitrobenzyl al cohol matrix. GC was carried out with Hitachi G-3000 gas chromatograph. HPLC was performed using a J ASCO System 800.

Plant Material. P. wattersii was collected in May 1996, Sichuan, People's Republic of China. The plant was identified by Prof. Zhaoguang Liu, Chengdu Institute of Biology, Academia Sinica, People's Republic of China, and the voucher specimen (no. 960516) has been deposited in the herbarium, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Shizuoka.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried roots of $P$. wattersii ( 1.85 kg ) were extracted twice with MeOH under reflux. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the MeOH extract was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ether. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was subjected to porous polymer gel Mitsubishi Diaion HP-20 column ( $9 \times$ $41 \mathrm{~cm})$. The adsorbed material was eluted with $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{MeOH}, 70 \%$ aqueous MeOH , and MeOH successively, after washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $70 \%$ aqueous MeOH eluate ( 20.8 g ) was chromatographed on a Si gel ( 600 g ) column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (80:20:1) as an eluent to afford fractions $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{I}$. Fraction C ( 0.8 g ) was subjected to preparative HPLC [Lop-ODS $5 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (22:78) $\rightarrow$ (30:70) linear gradient] to afford 18 ( 96 mg ), 19 ( 32 mg ), $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( 39 mg ), $\mathbf{2 1}(142 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathbf{2 2}$ ( 101 mg ). Fraction E ( 6.4 g ) was subjected to preparative HPLC [Lop-ODS 5 $\times 100 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(22: 78) \rightarrow(30: 70)$ linear gradient] to afford 2 ( 126 mg ), 5 ( 23 mg ), 8 ( 18 mg ), 10 ( 21 mg ), 11 $(215 \mathrm{mg}), 12(186 \mathrm{mg}) 13(15 \mathrm{mg}), 15(82 \mathrm{mg}), 16(10 \mathrm{mg})$, and 23 ( 1.6 g ). Fraction G ( 4.2 g ) was subjected to preparative HPLC [Lop-ODS $5 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Table 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Data of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aglycon moiety |  |  |
| 2 | $6.98 \mathrm{dd}(8,1)$ | $6.98 \mathrm{~d}(8.5)$ |
| 3 | $7.74 \mathrm{dd}(8,8)$ | $7.74 \mathrm{dd}(8.5,8.5)$ |
| 4 | $7.13 \mathrm{dd}(8,1)$ | $7.13 \mathrm{dd}(8.5,1)$ |
| 5 | $7.56 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(8)}$ | $7.55 \mathrm{~d}(8.5)$ |
| 6 | $7.52 \mathrm{dd}(8,3)$ | $7.51 \mathrm{dd}(8.5,3)$ |
| 8 | $7.70 \mathrm{~d}(3)$ | $7.67 \mathrm{~d}(3)$ |
| OMe | 3.92 s | 3.91 s |
| sugar moiety |  |  |
| Glc-1 | $4.88 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(7.5)}$ | $4.92 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(7)}$ |
| 2 | 3.31 m | 3.30 m |
| 3 | 3.55 m | 3.28 m |
| 4 | 3.14 m | 3.21 m |
| 5 | 3.32 m | 3.33 m |
| 6 | $3.45 \mathrm{dd}(11,5)$ | $3.52 \mathrm{dd}(12,2)$ |
|  | 3.91 m | $3.72 \mathrm{dd}(12,5.5)$ |
| Api-1 | $4.84 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(2.5)}$ |  |
| 2 | $3.86 \mathrm{dd}(6,2.5)$ |  |
| 4 | $3.92 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(9)}$ |  |
| 5 | $3.62 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(9)}$ |  |
|  | $3.42 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{(11)}$ |  |

(20:80) $\rightarrow$ (28:78) linear gradient] to afford $\mathbf{1}$ ( 88 mg ), $\mathbf{3}$ (602 $\mathrm{mg}), \mathbf{6}(127 \mathrm{mg}), 7(64 \mathrm{mg}), 9(70 \mathrm{mg}), 14(284 \mathrm{mg})$, and 17 ( 117 mg ). F raction $\mathrm{H}(2.46 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to a preparative HPLC [Lop-ODS $5 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20: 80) \rightarrow(28:$ 72) linear gradient] to afford 3 ( 165 mg ) and 17 ( 22 mg ).

Watterose A (1): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-25.7^{\circ}$ (c $0.98, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 221$ (4.45), 231 (4.50), 300 (4.50), 320 (4.59) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z 1157 [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose B (2): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23}$ D $-5.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.01, MeOH ); UV ( MeOH ) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 222$ (4.46), 231 (4.47), 301 (4.48), 319 (4.55) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1185[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose C (3): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-6.6^{\circ}$ (C $1.06, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \epsilon) 223$ (4.45), 230 (4.55), 300 (4.53), 321 (4.60) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1143[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose D (4): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}+17.5^{\circ}$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 223$ (4.57), 232 (4.61), 257 (4.31), 301 (4.51), 391 (4.60) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1101[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose E (5): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-9.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.28, MeOH ); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 221$ (sh) (4.52), 233 (sh) (4.49), 300 (4.41), 329 (4.57) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/z $1215[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose F (6): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-8.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.01, MeOH ); UV ( MeOH ) $\lambda_{\max }(\log \epsilon) 221$ (4.46), 233 (4.44), 300 (4.37), 329 (4.52) nm; ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/z $1173[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Watterose G (7): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-12.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.27, MeOH ); UV ( MeOH ) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 221$ (4.47), 233 (4.43), 300 (4.33), 331 (4.48) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z 1201[M + H ] .
Watterose H (8): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-41.2^{\circ}(\mathrm{C}$ $1.94, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;$ UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 230$ (4.53), 283 (4.11), 301 (4.28), 314 (4.35) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1127[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
Watterose I (9): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-46.8^{\circ}$ (C $1.01, \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max }(\log \epsilon) 224$ (4.47), 231 (4.49), 284 (4.01), 301 (4.16), 328 (4.28) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1101[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
Watterose J (10): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{D}-39.0^{\circ}$ (c 1.20, MeOH ); UV ( MeOH ) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 221$ (4.57), 234 (4.52), 300 (4.44), 329 (4.55) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 1 and 2; FABMS m/ z $1137[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Table 4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in DMSO-d 6 at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aglycon moiety |  |  |
| 1 | 160.2 | 160.1 |
| 2 | 109.6 | 109.5 |
| 3 | 111.1 | 111.0 |
| 4 | 106.2 | 106.1 |
| 4a | 157.4 | 157.3 |
| $4 b$ | 149.8 | 149.6 |
| 5 | 118.8 | 118.7 |
| 6 | 124.5 | 124.4 |
| 7 | 153.8 | 153.7 |
| 8 | 135.6 | 135.4 |
| $8 a$ | 122.8 | 122.8 |
| $8 b$ | 111.3 | 111.1 |
| 9 | 174.6 | 174.3 |
| OMe | 56.2 | 56.1 |
| sugar moiety |  |  |
| Glc-1 | 101.4 | 101.3 |
| 2 | 73.1 | 73.2 |
| 3 | 75.7 | 76.4 |
| 4 | 69.9 | 69.6 |
| 5 | 76.3 | 77.1 |
| 6 | 67.5 | 60.6 |
| Api-1 | 109.4 |  |
| 2 | 75.9 |  |
| 3 | 78.7 |  |
| 4 | 73.4 |  |
| 5 | 63.3 |  |

Wattersiixanthone $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{1 1})$ : amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{27}{ }_{D}$ $-82.1^{\circ}$ (c 1.20, MeOH ); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 235$ (4.34), 244 (4.36), 252 (4.41), 281 (3.71), 304 (3.39), 362 (3.71) nm; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 3 and 4; FABMS m/ z 537 [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 559[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$.

Wattersiixanthone B (12): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}$ $-20.5^{\circ}$ (c 0.60, MeOH ); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 236$ (4.36), 234 (4.37), 252 (4.41), 281 (3.82), 305 (3.67), 357 (3.71) nm ${ }^{13} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Tables 3 and 4; FABMS m/z $427[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+}, 405[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Alkaline Hydrolysis of 1-10. Each compound (2 mg) was treated with 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ for 4 h at room temperature in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and the reaction mixture was extracted thrice with EtOAc after acidification
with 1 N HCl , and the water layer was passed through a column equipped with Amberlite IR-120B and IRA-60E. From the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ eluate, tetrasaccharide (13a) ${ }^{4}$ was detected from $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}$ by HPLC [Asahipak $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{P}-50,4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (65:35), $\left.1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{RI}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 6.3 \mathrm{~min}\right]$. From the EtOAc layer, ferulic acid ( 8.5 min ) was detected from 1, 5, and 6, p-coumaric acid ( 7.6 min ) was detected from $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ and 8, caffeic acid ( 5.2 min ) was detected from $\mathbf{2 - 7}$, 9, and 10, benzoic acid ( 12.5 min ) was detected from $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$ by HPLC [YMC R-ODS-7, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (22.5:77.5) $+0.05 \% \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV 270 nm ].

The water el uate was concentrated under reduced pressure and was heated on a boiling water bath with 1 N HCl ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) for 20 min . The reaction mixture was passed through an AmberliteIRA-60E column, and the eluate was concentrated. The residue was warmed at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a solution of d-cysteine methyl ester in pyridine ( $3 \mathrm{mg} / 25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) for 90 min and to the reaction mixture hexamethyldisilazane ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and trimethylsilyl chloride ( $15 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The supernatant was subjected to GC. Conditions: column Supelco SPB-1, $0.25 \mathrm{~mm} \times 27 \mathrm{~m}$; temperature $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; carrier gas, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. D-Glucose ( 18.6 min ) and D-fructose ${ }^{8}$ were detected from 1-10, and the ratio was 3:1.
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